Bridge Key:	12939	Agency	ID: 108B00041	N	SR: 2	SD/FO: SD		
	IDENTIFIC		INSPECTION					
State 1: 2	1 Kentucky	Struc Num 8: 108B00041N	Frequency 91 12 mg		6/1/2005 Next ins	pection: 06/01/2006		
	•		Lindrauch at 15 mg	onina inspection bate so.	O/1/2003 PREAT THE	pection: uava i/2000		
Packing Carried /; K	CY-2885	Location 9 4.2 MI SW JCT, KY 55	FC Frequency 92A: NA	FC Inspection Date	93A: NA Next FC	Inspection: NA		
Rts.(On/Under)5A; R	louis On Structure	Rte, Signing Prefix 58, 3 State Hwy	UW Frequency 929 12 me	onths UW inspection Date	e 938: 7/10/2013 Next UW	Inspection 7/10/2014		
Level of Service 5C	1 Mainline	Rte, Number 50: 02885	Si Frequency 92C: NA	SI Date 93C	12/5/2013 Next St.	. NA		
Directional Suffix 5E:	0 N/A (NBI)	% Responsibility Unknown						
SHD District 2:	District 5	County Code 3: Spencer (108)	Element Frequency: 12 m	onths Element Inspection	Date: 01/01/1901 Next Ele	m. Insp. Due: 01/01/1901		
Place Code 4:	FIPS 0000	Mile Post 11: 2.632 ml						
2000					FICATION			
Feature intersected 6:	SIMPSON CREEK		Defense Highway 100;	0 Not a STRAHNET hwy	Parallel Structure 101:	No bridge exists		
Latitude 16:	37d 59' 33"	Longitude 17: 085d 23' 18"	Direction of Traffic 102:	2 2-way traffic	Temporary Structure 103:	Not Applicable (P)		
Border Bridge Code 98:	Unknown (P)		Highway System 104:	0 Not on NHS	NBIS Length 112:	Long Enough		
Border Bridge Number 99	9.		Toll Facility 20:	3 On free road	Functional Class 26:	09 Rural Local		
			Defense Hwy 110:	0 Not a STRAHNET Invy	Historical Significance 37:	5 Not eligible for NRHP		
STRU	CTURE TYPE	AND MATERIALS	Owner 22: 01 State	Highway Agency				
Number of Approach Spa	ins 46: 0 Num	ber of Spans Main Unit 45: 1	Custodian 21: 01 State	Highway Agency				
Main Span Material/Desig	gn 43A/8			0011	SITION			
5 Prestressed Concrete		05 Multiple Box Beam	Deck 58		DITION	4.5		
			6 Satisfactory	. 38	Satisfactory Sub 60	4 Poor		
			Culveri 62 N N/A (NBI)	Chennel/C	hannel Protection 61: 7 Mi	nor Damege		
Deck Type 107:	2 Concrete Preces	st Panel						
Wearing Surface 108A:	1 Monolithic Conc	rete		LOAD RATING	AND POSTING			
Membrane 108B:	0 None		Inventory Rating Method	85. 1 LF Load Factor	Operating Rating Method 63:	1 LF Load Factor		
Deck Protection 108C:	None		Inventory Rating 56:	HS1 7	Operating Rating 64:	HS1.7		
				4 14 40 04 000				
	ACC AND O		Design Load 31:		Posting 70	0 > 39 8% helow		
	AGE AND S	SERVICE	Design Load 31:	4 M 18 (H 20)	Posting 70	0 >39 8% below		
Year Built 27:	AGE AND S	SERVICE Year Reconstructed 108: 0	Design Load 31:	P Posted for load	Posting 70:	0 >39 9% below		
Year Built 27: Type of Service on 42A				P Posted for load		D >39 9% below		
- H-	1970 1 Highway			P Posted for load	Posting 70:	D >39 9% below		
Type of Service on 42A	1970 1 Highway	Year Reconstructed 106: 0		P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard	VAISAL Approach Rail 36C;	0 Substandard		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42i	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Waterway	Year Reconstructed 106: 0	Posting status 41:	P Posted for load	VAISAL			
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42i Lenes on 28A; 2	1970 1 Highway 18: 5 Welenway Lanes Under 288, Truck ADT 109:	9 Year Reconstructed 108: 0 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml 1 Year of ADT 30; 2013	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 36A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67:	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3	VAISAL Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88:	0 Substandard		
Type of Service on 42A: Type of Service under 42f Lanes on 28A: 2 ADT 28: 158	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109:	9	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 36A; Transition 36B; Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N I	VAISAL Approach Rail 38C; Approach Rail Ends 38D;	0 Substandard 0 Substandard		
Type of Service on 42A: Type of Service under 42f Lanes on 28A: 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48: 2	1970 1 Highway 18: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR	9 Year Reconstructed 108: 0 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml 1 Year of ADT 30; 2013	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 36A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67:	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Hortzontal 69; N II	VAISAL Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88:	0 Substandard 0 Substandard		
Type of Service on 42A: Type of Service under 42f Lanes on 28A: 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48: 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A:	1970 1 Highway 18: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 fl Siz 1.5 ft Cu	79er Reconstructed 108: 0 Descur Length 19: 29.8 ml % Year of ADT 30: 2013 CIC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 ft rb/Skidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 ft	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 36A; Transition 36B; Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N I	VAISAL Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88: Not applicable (NBI)	Substandard Substandard Intolerable - Replace		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42f Lanes on 28A; 2 ADT 28. 158 Length Max Span 48; 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A; Width Curb to Curb 51; Approach Roadway Width	1970 1 Highway 18: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 fl Sir : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft Wi	9	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 36A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71:	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N II 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing	Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88: Not applicable (NBI) Approach Alignment 72:	Substandard Substandard Intolerable - Replace		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lenes on 28A; 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48; 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A; Width Curb to Curb 51: Approach Roadway Widti (w/ shoulders)	1970 1 Highway 18: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 fl Sir : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft Wi	O Descur Length 19: 29.8 ml % Year of ADT 30: 2013 CIC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 ft rb/Sidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 ft oth Out to Out 52: 18.1 ft	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 36A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71; Scour Critical 113:	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N I 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing	LAISAL Approach Rail 38C: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88: Not applicable (NBI) Approach Alignment 72:	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lenes on 28A; 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48; 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A; Width Curb to Curb 51: Approach Roadway Widti (w/ shoulders) Deck Area: 434, sq. R	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288, Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 ft Str : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft With 32: 14.0 ft	Year Reconstructed 108: 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml Year of ADT 30; 2013 SC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 fl hb/Sidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 fl dth Out to Out 52: 18.1 ft Median 33: 0 No median	Posting status 41 Bridge Rail 36A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71: Scour Critical 113: Bridge Cost 94:	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N I 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing PROPOSED IN 8 50,000	APPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75:	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lenes on 28A; 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48; 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A; Width Curb to Curb 51: Approach Roadway Widti (w/ shoulders) Deck Area: 434, sq. ft Skew 34; 0.00°	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 ft Str : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft With 32: 14.0 ft	Year Reconstructed 108: 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml Year of ADT 30: 2013 IC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 fl rb/Sidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 fl Median 33: 0 No median	Posting status 41 Bridge Rail 38A: Transition 36B: Str Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71; Scour Critical 113: Bridge Cost 84: Roadway Cost 85;	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N I 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footling PROPOSED IN 8 50,000 8 5,000	Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88: Not applicable (NBI) Approach Alignment 72: IPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 78:	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct 34 Widen w/ Deck Reha		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lenes on 28A; 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48: 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A; Width Curb to Curb 51: Approach Roadway Widti (w/ shoulders) Deck Ares: 434, sq. ft Skew 34: 0.00 ° Vertical Clearance 10:	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 ft Str : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft With 32: 14.0 ft 99.99 ft Ho	Year Reconstructed 108: 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml Year of ADT 30: 2013 CC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 fl rb/Sidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 fl Median 33: 0 No median ructure Flared 35: 0 No flare rtz. Clearance 47: 17.08 ft	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 38A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71: Scour Critical 113: Bridge Cost 94: Roadway Cost 95: Total Cost 96.	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 68: N I 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing PROPOSED IN \$ 90,000 \$ 5,000 \$ 54,000	Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88: Not applicable (NBI) Approach Alignment 72: IPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 78: Future ADT 114:	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct 34 Widen w/ Deck Reh 2.3 fl		
Type of Service under 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lanes on 28A: 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48: 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 59A: Width Curb to Curb 51: Approach Roadway Widti (w/ shoulders) Deck Area: 434. sq. R Skew 34: 0.00 ° Vertical Clearance 10: Alknimum Vertical Clearance	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Waterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 ft Str : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft With 32: 14.0 ft 99.99 ft Ho	Typer Reconstructed 108: 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml Year of ADT 30: 2013 CC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 fl rb/Sidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 fl Median 33: 0 No median ructure Flared 35: 0 No flare riz. Clearance 47: 17.08 ft	Posting status 41 Bridge Rail 38A: Transition 36B: Str Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71; Scour Critical 113: Bridge Cost 84: Roadway Cost 85;	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 68: N I 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing PROPOSED IN \$ 90,000 \$ 5,000 \$ 54,000	Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail 38G: Approach Rail Ends 38D: Deck Geometry 88: Not applicable (NBI) Approach Alignment 72: IPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 78:	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct 34 Widen w/ Deck Relu 2.3 fl		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lenes on 28A; 2 ADT 28. 158 Length Max Span 48; 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A; Width Curb to Curb 51; Approach Roadway Width (w/ shoulders) Deck Ares: 434, sq. R Skow 34; 0.00° Vertical Clearance 10; Minimum Vertical Undercy	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Weterway Lenes Under 289. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 ft Str : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft Wr th 32: 14.0 ft 99.99 ft Ho ince Over Bridge 53: cleansince Reference 54	Typer Reconstructed 108: 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml Year of ADT 30; 2013 SC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 fl rb/Sidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 fl dth Out to Out 52: 18.1 ft Median 33: 0 No median ructure Flared 35: 0 No flare ritz, Clearance 47: 17.08 ft 100.0 ft A: N Feature not twy or RR	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 38A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71: Scour Critical 113: Bridge Cost 94: Roadway Cost 95: Total Cost 96.	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N I 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing PROPOSED IN \$ 90,000 \$ 5,000 \$ 54,000 1999	ADPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Future ADT 115;	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct 34 Widen w/ Deck Reh 2.3 fl		
Type of Service under 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lenes on 28A; 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48; 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 59A; Width Curb to Curb 51; Approach Roadway Widti (w shoulders) Deck Area; 434, sq. R Skew 34: 0.00 ° Vertical Clearance 10; Minimum Vertical Underc Minimum Vertical Underc	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Weterway Lenes Under 289. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 ft Str : 0.5 ft Cu 17.1 ft Wr th 32: 14.0 ft 827 89.99 ft Ho ince Over Bridge 53: clearance 548;	9 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml 9 Year of ADT 30; 2013 SIC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 ft chth Out to Out 52: 18.1 ft Median 33: 0 No median tucture Flared 35: 0 No flare ntz. Clearance 47: 17.08 ft 100.0 ft A: N Feature not twy or RR	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 38A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearence, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71; Scour Critical 113: Bridge Cost 94: Roadway Cost 95; Total Cost 96. Year of Cost Estimate 97	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 68: N II 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footling PROPOSED IN \$ 50,000 \$ 5,000 \$ 54,000 1999	APPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 78: Future ADT 114: Year of Future ADT 115: ION DATA	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct 34 Widen w/ Deck Rehe 2.3 fl		
Type of Service on 42A; Type of Service under 42I Lenes on 28A; 2 ADT 28: 158 Length Max Span 48: 2 Curb/Sdwik Width L 50A; Width Curb to Curb 51: Approach Roadway Widti (w shoulders) Deck Ares: 434. sq. R Skow 34: 0.00° Vertical Clearance 10: Minimum Vertical Undercy	1970 1 Highway 19: 5 Weterway Lenes Under 288. Truck ADT 109: GEOMETR 21.5 ft Str 17.1 ft Wr 17.1 ft Wr 17.1 ft Wr 199.99 ft Ho Ince Over Bridge 53: clearance Reference \$4 clearance Reference R 5	Typer Reconstructed 108: 0 Detour Length 19: 29.8 ml Year of ADT 30; 2013 SIC DATA ucture Length 49: 24.0 fl nb/Sidewalk Width R 508: 0.5 fl dth Out to Out 52: 18.1 ft Median 33: 0 No median ructure Flured 35: 0 No flare ructure Flured 35: 17.08 ft 100.0 ft A: N Feature not twy or RR 0 0 fl	Posting status 41. Bridge Rail 38A: Transition 36B: Str. Evaluation 67: Underclearance, Vertical Waterway Adequacy 71: Scour Critical 113: Bridge Cost 94: Roadway Cost 95: Total Cost 96.	P Posted for load APPR 0 Substandard 0 Substandard 3 and Horizontal 69: N I 4 Tolerable 8 Stable Above Footing PROPOSED IN \$ 90,000 \$ 5,000 \$ 54,000 1999	APPROVEMENTS Type of Work 75: Length of Improvement 78: Future ADT 114: Year of Future ADT 115: ION DATA	0 Substandard 0 Substandard 2 Intolerable - Replace 3 Intolerable - Correct 34 Widen w/ Deck Rehe 2.3 fl		

ELEMENT CONDITION STATE DATA

BRIDGE NOTES

-THE BRIDGE IS REQUIRED TO BE POSTED AT 3 TONS.
-Posting memo for 3 tons gross due to serious scour. ALI 11/27/13

PAST INSPECTION	٧					
Inspection Date:	12/05/2013	Type: 7 Special (0 -60 months)				
Inspector:	RMEREDI	Pontis User Key:	RMEREDI - Royce			
Scope: NBI: Underwater	Other:	Eleme	nent:			
INSPECTION NOT	ES					
at the structure. Ite pictures of posting north abutment, ha	m 41 was changed to "P Po signs were uploaded to the is been turned in by Collins	osted for Load", Da Media Tab, Genera but a Pontis report	nection was done to verify that 3 ton posting signs were installed ate Field Posted (on KYTC-Bridge Tab) was populated and ral folder. A draft Underwater report, detailing the scour at the t has not been completed. Crack guages were also installed by December. These guages will be monitored by Mark			
PAST INSPECTION	1		<i></i>			
Inspection Date:	07/10/2013	Type: 6 UnWater	er (60 months)			
Inspector:	JJOHNSON	Pontis User Key:	JJOHNSON - Jost			
Scope: NBI: Underwater INSPECTION NOTE		Eleme	ent:			
cracking and spalling detailed inspection Abutment 2: Concritoting along the st Construction joints repair. See Figure 1: RECOMMENDATIC Replace structure. Perform load rating	ete surfaces exhibited light ng was noted in areas of pro- notes. ete surfaces exhibited light outh face was undermined a were separated on both wir 5 in Appendix A for detailed DNS:	evious repair. See for scaling from the wand shear cracks wangwalls. Spalling was for spection notes.	vaterline to the channel bottom. The vere noted on both wingwalls. vas noted in areas of previous structure based on results.			

PAST INSPECTION	N .	
Inspection Date: 02/22/2013		Type: 2 Standard (24 months)
Inspector:	WPHILLIPS	Pontis User Key: WPHILLIPS - will
Scope: NBI: Underwater	Other:	Element: Critical:
INSPECTION NOT	ES	
abutments and 198 water depth, no pro summer during low	38 inspection notes the obing or underwater in the flow conditions is re-	to the Salt River. Previous inspections note that water is 5 to 6 feet deep at the at there was some undermining of the north abutment. Due to weather conditions and spection during this inspection. Consultant underwater inspection or re-inspection this commended. A special inspection was uploaded to confirm bridge posting so Item #417/2014 by Susanne Winter to appease the FHWA error check.
PAST INSPECTION		
Inspection Date:	02/10/2011	Type: 2 Standard (24 months)
Inspector:	TGKING	Pontis User Key: TGKING - Terry Ki
Scope: NBI: Underwater	Other:	☐ Element: ☑ Critical: ☐
abutments and 198 water depth, no pro	8 inspection notes th	e to the Salt River. Previous inspections note that water is 5 to 6 feet deep at the at there was some undermining of the north abutment. Due to weather conditions and spection during this inspection. Consultant underwater inspection or re-inspection this ommended.

PAST INSPECTIO	N						
Inspection Date:	05/20/2009	Type: 2 Standard (24 months)					
Inspector:	DDUDGEON	Pontis User Key: DDUDGEON - Dai					
Scope: NBI: Underwate	Other:	Element: 🔀					
INSPECTION NOT	res						
PAST INSPECTIO							
Inspection Date:	01/15/2008	Type: 2 Standard (24 months)					
Inspector:	DDUDGEON	Pontis User Key: DDUDGEON - Dai					
Scope: NBI: Underwate		☐ Element: ☑ al: ☐					
_							

PAST INSPECTION									
Inspection Date: 06/01/2005 Inspector: SSMITH		Type: 1 \$	Type: 1 StA (Initial Inventory) Pontis User Key: SSMITH - Stepher						
		Pontis Use							
Scope: NBI: Underwater: INSPECTION NOTE		e Critical:	Element:						
INSPECTOR WORK	CANDIDATES							/	
Work Candidate ID	and the same of th	tion	Object	Agency	Agency Priority	Assigned to a Project	Rec. Date		
A-KYTC-18E6C75B-000000	10 Other	Bridge		unknown	Medium		2/22/2013		
A-KYTC-18E6C75B-000000	OC Ovly Deck	Bare Co	ncrete Deck	unknown	Medium	No	2/22/2013		
A-KYTC-18E6C75B-000000	14 Min Repair	Transitio	ns	unknown	Medium	No	2/22/2013		
A-KYTC-18E6C75B-000000	DE Rehab Elem	Long. St	near Keys	unknown	Medium	No	2/22/2013		



Approach - Looking Ahead Station



Approach - Looking Back Station



Transverse Cracking in Approach Slab - Typical



Guardrail Damage at Northeast Quadrant



Elevation - Looking West



Elevation - Looking East



Deterioration of Joints between Beams - Looking South



East Curb Spalled with Exposed Reinforcing Steel



Abutment 1 Spall and Cracking on East Side



Abutment 2 Elevation - Minor Vertical Cracking, Spalls along Construction Joint and Staining from Leaking Joints



Guardrail Post not Embedded - Erosion and loss of Fill at Northwest Quadrant



Lateral Tension Rod - Rust with Section Loss (Typical)